



Search all conversations

Compose



- Mail
- Inbox** 285
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts
- triharianto@g.unh... 218
- More

Chat  
No conversations

Spaces  
No spaces yet  
Create or find a space

Meet

### [C.E.J] Performance of Subbase Layer with Geogrid Reinforcement and Zeolite-Waterglass Satbilization External Inbox x

office C.E.J <office@civilejournal.org>  
to me

Oct 28, 2021, 7:12 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

Dear Dr. Harianto,

We would like to inform you, Regular reviewing process takes 2.5-3 months and the article processing charge is 485 Euro which will ask after acceptance. But if you are in hurry, you can request for fast reviewing option which takes About 10 days (In this option the journal APC will increase to 795 (485 + 310) Euro due to the cost of the reviewers).

\*\*It is important to pay attention there is not any guarantee to accept the articles with a fast option.

Regards,  
Office C.E.J  
Civil Engineering Journal

Civil Engineering Journal  
<http://civilejournal.org/index.php/cej>

Dr. Eng. Tri Harianto <triharianto@unhas.ac.id>  
to office

Oct 29, 2021, 7:24 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

Dear Editor

I will follow the regular review process.

Best regards,  
Tri



- Compose
- Mail
  - Inbox 285
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - triharianto@g.unh... 218
  - More

Chat +

No conversations

Spaces +

No spaces yet  
[Create or find a space](#)

148 of 611

[C.E.J] Submission Acknowledgement External Inbox x



**Prof. M. R. Kavianpour** <kavianpour@civilejournal.org>  
to me

Oct 24, 2021, 2:26 PM

Dr Tri - Harianto:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Performance of Subbase Layer with Geogrid Reinforcement and Zeolite-Waterglass Satbilization" to Civil Engineering Journal. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:  
<https://www.civilejournal.org/index.php/cej/author/submission/3218>  
 Username: triharianto-geo

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Prof. M. R. Kavianpour  
 Civil Engineering Journal

Civil Engineering Journal  
<http://civilejournal.org/index.php/cej>

Reply Forward





Search all conversations

Active [Profile Icon]

Compose



103 of 611

- Mail
  - Inbox 285
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - trihariato@g.unh... 218
  - More

Chat No conversations

Spaces

[C.E.J] Editor Decision (Article #2021-3218) External Inbox x



office C.E.J <office@civilejournal.org> to me

Sun, Dec 5, 2021, 7:46 PM

Dear Dr. Harianto,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Civil Engineering Journal, "Performance of Subbase Layer with Geogrid Reinforcement and Zeolite-Waterglass Stabilization".

Our decision is to: Revision Required.

Please consider reviewer's comments, and revise that as soon as possible. If you do not submit the revision file, the article will be withdrawn within 20 days.

When you revise your manuscript please highlight the changes you make in the manuscript by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or colored text.

\*\* Please upload the revised version into your user home> Review tab> Author Version.

Regards, Editor in Chief: M. R. Kavianpour Kavianpour@civilejournal.org



Search all conversations

Active ? Settings App icons

Compose

- Mail
  - Inbox 285
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - triharianto@g.unh... 218
  - More

Chat No conversations

Spaces No spaces yet Create or find a space

Meet

Navigation icons: back, forward, archive, trash, mute, refresh, print, share, etc.

Reviewer #1:

The topic is interesting and important considering the current pandemic times we are in. However, there are several key areas that need more work prior to publication. I have summarized the required changes in the hope that the feedback will be useful to you as you update the paper. We are not able to consider your manuscript for publication at the present time, but we hope you will consider the feedback provided by the reviewers to revise your manuscript and re-submit.

- 1- The authors should ask the help of native English speaking proof reader, because there are too many typo and linguistic mistakes that should be fixed.
- 2- The necessity and innovation of the article should be presented to the introduction.
- 3- A flowchart should be added to the article to show the research methodology.
- 4- The quality of the figures is too weak. The original (editable) source of the figures 1 to 7 and table 1 should be used into the manuscript.
- 5- Research methodology is poorly presented. In this section the method of research should be expressed step by step.
- 6- It is important to add some recent work (2017-2021) to the literature review. At least 5 new references should be added to article.
- 7- Some pictures should be presented to show the experimental procedures.
- 8- It is suggested to compare the results of the present study with previous studies and analyze their results completely.
- 9- Much more explanations and interpretations must be added for the result, which are not enough at all.
- 10- It is suggested to organize Conclusion section much better. This section should present in one 250-300 words paragraph.
- 11- It is important to add "Funding", "Author Contributions", "Data Availability" and "Conflict of Interest" statements at the end of the article.

Reviewer #2:

Vertical sidebar with various app icons and a plus sign for more options.

- Compose
- Mail
  - Inbox 285
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - trihariato@g.unh... 218
  - More
- Chat
  - No conversations
- Spaces
  - No spaces yet
  - Create or find a space
- Meet

Search all conversations



103 of 611

Reviewer #2:

The main concern about the manuscript is its contribution to knowledge. It is expected that a critical gap analysis will be done in the introduction section to justify the necessity of doing this piece of research. It is partially done by the authors but it should consider all aspects of the problem including assumptions, limitations and constraints, pros and cons, and relative merits to the other publicly available studies and proposals.

- The subject addressed is within the scope of the journal.
- For readers to quickly catch your contribution, it would be better to highlight major difficulties and challenges, and your original achievements to overcome them, in a clearer way in abstract and introduction.
- Some key parameters are not mentioned. The rationale on the choice of the particular set of parameters should be explained with more details. Have the authors experimented with other sets of values? What are the sensitivities of these parameters on the results?
- Some assumptions are stated in various sections. Justifications should be provided on these assumptions. Evaluation on how they will affect the results should be made.

Civil Engineering Journal  
<http://civilejournal.org/index.php/cej>

**Dr. Eng. Tri Harianto** <trihariato@unhas.ac.id>  
to office

Dec 21, 2021, 12:06 PM

Dear Editor in Chief  
M. R. Kavianpour

I have submitted my revised manuscript through CEJ website (Review tab> Author Version.) in both word and pdf file.


Best regards,  
Tri

- Compose
- Mail
  - Inbox 284
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - triharianto@g.unh... 218
  - More
- Chat
  - No conversations
- Spaces
  - No spaces yet
  - Create or find a space

**Dr. Eng. Tri Harianto** <triharianto@unhas.ac.id> to office Tue, Dec 28, 2021, 9:55 AM

Dear Editor  
Please find in the attachment of my receipt of APC to CEJ Journal (article #2021-3218).

Best regards,  
Tri



**office@civilejournal.org** to me Tue, Dec 28, 2021, 8:03 PM

Dear Dr. Harianto,  
Thank you for the email.  
We have received the payment successfully.

Regards,  
Office C.E.J  
Civil Engineering Journal

- Compose
- Mail
  - Inbox 284
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - trihariato@g.unh... 218
  - More
- Chat
  - No conversations
- Spaces
  - No spaces yet
  - Create or find a space

[C.E.J] Editor Decision (Article #2021-3218) External Inbox x

office C.E.J <office@civilejournal.org>  
to me

Dear Dr. Harianto,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Civil Engineering Journal, "Performance of Subbase Layer with Geogrid Reinforcement and Zeolite-waterglass Stabilization".

Our decision is to: Accepted

If you want to publish your article into Volume 8, Issue 2 please pay the APC (Article Processing Charge) of the Civil Engineering Journal till 26th of December.

- VISA/MasterCard (VAT (15%) Included):

<https://buy.stripe.com/dR6aGU2XlcBhb3q7us>  
Amount: 485 Euro + 15% VAT

\*\*NOTE: Please send us a receipt (screenshot) after payment.

Kind Regards,  
Editor in Chief: M. R. Kavianpour  
[Kavianpour@civilejournal.org](mailto:Kavianpour@civilejournal.org)

Civil Engineering Journal  
<http://civilejournal.org/index.php/cej>

- Compose
- Mail
  - Inbox 284
  - Starred
  - Snoozed
  - Sent
  - Drafts
  - triharianto@g.unh... 218
  - More
- Chat
  - No conversations
- Spaces
  - No spaces yet
  - Create or find a space
- Meet

62 of 610

[C.E.J] Proofreading (Article #2021-3218) External Inbox x

office@civilejournal.org to me

Dear Dr. Harianto,

I would ask you to check the pre-publication format of your article in the Civil Engineering Journal. You have 48 hours to confirm it.

If we do not get your feedback, the publication process will start.

Regards,  
Office C.E.J  
Civil Engineering Journal



Dr. Eng. Tri Harianto <triharianto@unhas.ac.id> to office

Dear Editor

I've checked my article and it's fine. Please proceed with the publication process.

Best regards,  
Tri

Tue, Jan 25, 10:21 PM

Wed, Jan 26, 6:07 AM